UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,734	08/04/2003	Antti Kiiveri	915-008.012	6648	
	4955 7590 08/14/2008 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			EXAMINER	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
	755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/634,734	KIIVERI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-14 and 16-18 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2, 4-8, 10-14, 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/9/2008 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-14, 16-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 698459 to Morgan in view of US Patent 2003/0196100 to Grawrock et al.(hereinafter Grawrock).

Regarding Claim 1, 7, 13, Morgan discloses the at least one storage area in storage circuit, in which storage area protected data relating to circuitry security are located see

Art Unit: 2132

Col 1 Ln 55-62; mode setting means arranged to set processor in at least two different operating modes, the mode setting means being capable of altering the processor operating mode see Col 7 Ln 8-16; storage circuit access control means arranged to enable said processor to access the storage area in which said protected data are located when first processor operating mode is set see Fig. 2 item 214; storage circuit access control means arranged to prevent said processor from accessing said storage area in which protected data are located when a second processor operating mode is set, thereby enabling said at least one processor to execute non-verified software downloaded into the circuitry see Fig. 2 item 216 & Abstract. But Morgan does not explicitly disclose the authenticating the software and only authenticated software and protected applications having access to protected area of storage. However, Grawrock discloses the authenticating the software and only authenticated software and protected applications having access to protected area of storage see Par. 0015 & Par. 0021-0022. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the authenticating of software and only authenticated software having access to protected area in the invention of Morgan in order to have only trusted applications access secure areas as taught in Grawrock see Par. 0022.

Regarding Claim 4, 10, 16, Morgan discloses the means to indicate which mode the processor is operating see Col 6 Ln 23-35.

Claim 2, 6, 8, 12, 14, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6968459 to Morgan in view of US Patent 2003/0196100 to Grawrock et al.(hereinafter Grawrock) and further in view of US Patent 2001/0055980 A1 to Sato.

Regarding Claim 2, 8,14, Morgan does not discloses the timer arranged to control a time period during which processor is in second mode. However, Sato discloses the timer being used to control period of usage see Par. 0014. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the timer being used to control period of usage in the invention of Morgan in order to division of usage on CPU and memory as taught in Par. 0015.

Regarding Claim 6, 12, 18, Morgan does not disclose the circuitry being in a mobile telecommunication terminal. However, Sato discloses the circuitry being in a mobile telecommunication terminal see Fig. 2 item 23.

Claim 5, 11, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

Patent 6968459 to Morgan in view of US Patent 2003/0196100 to Grawrock et

al.(hereinafter Grawrock) and further in view of US Patent 2002/0040442 A1 to Ishidera.

Regarding Claim 5, 11, 17, Morgan does not disclose the mode setting means comprise an application program. However, Ishider discloses the mode setting means comprises an application program see Fig. 1item 40. It would be obvious to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/634,734 Page 5

Art Unit: 2132

skill in the art at the time of the invention to include mode setting means comprises an application program in the invention of Morgan in order to have an user access the mode setting feature as taught in Inshidera see Par. 0063.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2132 August 13, 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/634,734 Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2132